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Abstract

Corporate governance of organizational environmental behavior has been largely inadequate to attain desired levels of environmental protection in Nigeria. However, the studies considering the corporate environmental management systems are still few in the literature. The study examined the spatial assessment of corporate environmental management systems (EMSs) of selected companies in Eleme Local Government Area, Rivers State Nigeria. The study made use of Indorama Petrochemical Company Ltd, Port Harcourt Refinery Corporation and Notore Chemical Industries. Three hundred copies of questionnaire were administered to elicit information from the Supervisors and other workers from the selected companies. Descriptive statistics in form of frequencies and percentages were used for the data analysis. Findings showed that the industries were manufacturing and extractive industries. Results revealed that 68.7% of the respondents agreed that they practiced EMS while 63.3% agreed that the commencing of EMS was after 2014 while 36.7% agreed that EMS commenced before 2014. Findings confirmed that more than 90% of the total respondents agreed that all the companies emit or discharge waste into the environment. Majority (68.7%) agreed that pattern or intervals of waste or pollution monitoring was structured at periodic intervals of 7 days while 68.0% of respondents agreed that emission target is set and 32.0% disagreed. Results showed that 93.3% of respondents that all the companies located in the study area were very sensitive to the discharge of waste or emissions into the environment. The study recommended among others that a strict adherence to the established standards be maintained to guide their operations and a periodic audit be also maintained to ensure sustainability of the environment.
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status ever since the 1960s (Welford, 1998). This trend has brought in to the limelight the issues arising from this environmental impact from the industrial revolution and globalization for about four decades since 1960 till date. Scientific studies were of the view that man’s survival in the planet is questionable as a result of great environmental hazard impacted on the environment by human arrival on the planet (Barrow, 2005; Seymour, 2016).

The continuous introduction of substance to the environment has greatly affected the environment by the depletion of the ozone layer by the emission of emission levels of chlorofluorocarbons which are chemicals used in cooling technologies (Melbinger and Vergassola, 2015; Wong and Candolin, 2015). The introduction of gaseous and chemical substances (Green House Gases) into the environment has raised concern by environmental scientist in the alarming rate of rising temperature generally known as global warming (Cunningham, 2012). The continuous logging of forest resources from the world forest reserve depreciate the forest capacity to sustain continuous circulation of Oxygen and Carbon dioxide which is required for the sustenance of live in the atmosphere (Sampson et al., 2008). These in turn and the release of toxic chemicals from industrial activities are threatening the ecological balance of the earth and the very existence of man.

The growing threat to the environment as a result of these alterations caused by industrialization led to an Earth Summit convened in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil hence, in 1992, whereby the relationship between the environment and development was emphasized. At the end of the conference, the leaders of the world agreed to the need to stem the continuous deterioration of the environment. Combating the impact of human activities on the environment has been girdled by an attitudinal change which Ajai, (2004) categorized as a shift in paradigm to that of sustainable development with the aim of attain environmental resource exploitation with emphasis on the sustainable management of exploitation (Noah and Bradley, 2007).

However, the old ways of development which cause pollution and atmospheric damage that disrupts traditional ways of living and destroys ecosystems through industrial structures need to be changed into sustainable ways (Welford, 1998). The Brundtland Report, commissioned by the United Nations to examine long-term environmental strategies, argued that this would require quite radical changes in economic practices throughout the world especially among nations and corporate organizations. Corporate governance of organizational environmental behavior has been largely inadequate to attain desired levels of environmental protection. Indeed, at its core, environmental law is an activist form of governmental regulation (Case, 2006). The perception that federal and state regimes enforcement of environmental laws is weak and sporadic worsens the problem of corporate regulatory noncompliance (Case, 2006). Managers within organization should be made not only to reduce costs, but also to minimize the environmental impacts on their operations. Unfortunately, a substantial impact on the environment has left Nigeria with an enormous economic, social, and environmental legacy.

This pressure is coming from a broad group of stakeholders, including regulatory bodies, employees, customers, investors, non-government organization and finance provider. Various stakeholders, such as business customers, investors, local communities and government are applying pressure on organizations to improve and report environmental performance. Secondly, as a result of the stakeholders’ pressure, environmental costs are not matching with its earning and benefits and becoming more important part of the organizational decision making.

There is therefore an increasing recognition that conventional management accounting practices should provide sufficient and accurate information for environmental management and environmental-related cost management. This will ensure that companies and organizations are increasingly aware that maximizing profits at any cost is no longer the most beneficial way to operate their business or to maintain and improve their competitive advantage. Generally, several studies had been done on environmental management systems but few were related to corporate companies especially in Nigeria. Since 1988, the Federal Environmental Protection Act (FEPA) of the Federal Government of Nigeria has developed guidelines to tackle environmental problems; existing impact studies in the area have not incorporated the issue of enforcement and implementation or adherence to corporate environmental governance and regulation in these industries located in Nigeria. Against this backdrop, the present study examined the spatial assessment of corporate environmental management systems of selected companies in Eleme Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria using ISO14001.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in Eleme Local Government Area (LGA) (Figure 1) whereby Indorama Eleme Petrochemical Company Limited, Port Harcourt Refinery Corporation (4.833oN and 7.1010oE), Notore Chemical Industries Limited (4.7364oN and 7.151765oE) and Port Harcourt Refinery Corporation (PHRC) Alesa-Eleme (4.7676 oN and 7.10054 oE) were selected. Eleme LGA is situated in the sub-equatorial region and thus enjoys the tropical climate. The area is
characterized by a mean yearly rainfall of about 2300 mm (Ogungbenro and Morakinyo, 2014; Kolebaje, Ikusika and Morakinyo, 2016). The mean annual temperature is 30°C and a mean annual relative humidity is 80% -100%. The vegetation of Port-Harcourt is consistently nourished with high rainfall and high temperature, which provide favorable condition for the growth of a varieties of tall and big trees like Khaya grandifoliola, Triplochiton scleroxylon, Terminalia superba and abundance of oil palm trees and several other species of economically valuable trees (Aisuebeogun, 1995; Eludoyin, Oderinde and Azubuike, 2010). Eleme LGA lies on the low lying coastal plain with mean elevation of about 20m (Umeuduji and Aisuebeogun, 1999). The population of Port Harcourt Metropolis was estimated to be 1,865,000 inhabitants, as at 2016 (National Population Commission, 2017).

The cross sectional research design was adopted for this study (Lavrakas, 2008). The major instrument used by the study was questionnaire. The population of the study was derived from the companies operating in Eleme Local Government Area whereby three companies were purposively selected for the study. These companies were selected based on the accessibility to the company’s management staff and other workers. These companies included the Indorama Eleme Petrochemical Company, Notore Chemical Industries and Port Harcourt Refinery Alesa Eleme. The Manager, Supervisors and other workers in each company were the target population for this study. There were ten departments in each company. In each company, the Manager, Supervisor of a Unit or Department and 9 other workers in each Unit or Department were sampled. In each company, a total of one hundred and eleven people were selected. In total, three hundred and thirty three people were selected for the study from all the selected companies in which three hundred copies of questionnaire were retrieved (Table 1). The copies of the questionnaire were administered on the Manager, Supervisor and other workers in each company. The questionnaire was administered using both purposive and simple random techniques. Data on collected from the respondents were analyzed using simple descriptive statistics of frequencies and percentage ratings. The hypothesis which stated that corporate environmental management systems (EMS) of the selected companies do not differ significantly from each other was tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at p < 0.05 significant levels.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Nature of Business

From the analysis in Figure 2, the manufacturing industry only was the highest (31.3%) while 26.3%, 21.7% and 20.7% were manufacturing only, extractive only and processing only respectively. This is so because Indoroma was engaged in the manufacturing and processing activities while PHRC was concerned with extraction of raw materials. The extraction industry are mostly waste like topsoil, overburden, waste rocks dredge spoil and so on while waste generated from the extraction and processing industries are chemical waste in form of emissions and discharge as effluent in to the environment.

Operational Sector

Table 2 shows the companies in the region are of three categories which included publicly owned, privately owned and both publicly and privately owned. Analysis revealed that 32.0% agreed that the industries were privately owned, 31.3% agreed that they were publicly owned while 36.7% agreed that they were privately and publicly owned. This is shown that PHRC was publicly owned and managed, privately owned and managed as was the case with Notore and Indoroma which is public–private partnership making ownership to be cooperative.

Practice of EMS among companies

The analysis of the application of environmental management system and processes in the day to day activities employed by the company is shown in Figure 3. It is revealed that 68.7% of the respondents agreed that they practiced environmental management system while 31.3% did not practice environmental management system.

Implantation or commencing year of EMS in companies

Figure 4 shows the commencing year of EMS in companies. The analysis revealed that 63.3% of the respondents agreed that the commencing of EMS was after 2014 while 36.7% agreed that EMS commenced before 2014. Indoroma was found to be the oldest in the business of applying environmental management system in their projects and activities among the selected industries while PHRC and Notore started applying environmental management system in their projects and activities from 2014 which is an indicator that the environment is becoming of concern to the companies operating within the area.
Table 1. Analysis of Questionnaire Administered and Retrieved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Number of Questionnaire Distributed</th>
<th>Number of Questionnaire Retrieved</th>
<th>Percentage Retrieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indorama</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHRC</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notore</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Nature of Business

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018
Table 2. Operational Sector among the Industries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Sector</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private-Public Partnership</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Figure 3. Practice of EMS among companies

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Figure 4. Implantation or commencing year of EMS in companies

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Waste product or pollutant emission by companies

From the analysis displayed on the Figure 5, it is obvious that more than 90% of the total respondents agreed that all the companies emit or discharge waste in to the environment. Hence, there is need for them to all incorporate environmental management system in to their activities on a daily basis. This will mitigate the impact the company activities will have on the people and their environment.
Company monitoring of waste or emissions

From the Figure 6, it is revealed that 63.3% of the respondents agreed that company ensured that waste generated is properly monitored from the source to discharge or emission point. From some observations made, it was shown that PHRC and Notore ensure that waste generated is properly monitored while Indorama did not.

Pattern or intervals of waste or pollution monitoring

From the analysis in Figure 7, it shown that majority of the respondents agreed that pattern or intervals of waste or pollution monitoring was scheduled and structured at periodic intervals of 7 days while about 31.3% disagreed. Observations show that PHRC and Notore are involved in waste monitoring; their monitoring procedure is scheduled and hence done at structured periodic interval of 7 days.
Emission or waste discharge maximum level (benchmark)

From the Figure 8, 68.0% of respondents agreed that emission target is set while 32.0% disagreed. From the observation, Indoroma do not set emission target or maximum level expected to be emitted. This development or findings is not healthy when emissions are not monitored and target not set for emission.

Specialized units trained to maintain pollutant at lowest level

It is shown in Figure 9 that 32.0% of the respondents agreed that there were some personnel trained as specialized unit to enforce emission limit in the company while 68.0% viewed that there was no limit to emissions in the industries.
Figure 9. Specialized units trained to maintain pollutant at lowest level

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Environmental less sensitive discharge of waste or emissions

Figure 10 presents that 93.3% of respondents agreed that all the companies located in the study area are very sensitive to the discharge of waste or emissions into the environment while 6.7% did not. Thus, their environmental concern was commendable.

Figure 10. Environmental less sensitive discharge of waste or emissions

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Access to environmental Education

From the analysis in Figure 11, it is revealed that 63.3% of the respondents viewed that members of staff acquire environmental education while 36.7% did not. Sequel to this, there is high level sensitivity by members of staff who were trained in the area of environmental education to govern their conduct in the environment which will reduce the impact company activities has on the environment through waste of pollutant emission.
Figure 11. Access to environmental education

**Source:** Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

**Nature of Pollutants (emissions) and Chemical composition of waste**

Study revealed as shown in Figure 12 that Indoroma production processes produce most and the highest pollutants into the environment ranging from NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{x}, CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, NH\textsubscript{4} while Notore produces only one pollutant as a result of its production activities which result in the emission of CO\textsubscript{2}. PHRC is the second largest pollution emitting company studies producing alongside Indoroma all pollutants contained in its emissions except NH\textsubscript{4} singly produced in the outcome of Indoroma production process or activities. Analysis shown in Figure 13 revealed that both Indoroma and Port Harcourt Refinery Company (PHRC) generate Polycyclic Aromatic Hydro – Carbon (PAHS) while Indoroma generates Total Hydrocarbon (THC) in addition to the presence of PAHS in their waste products.

Figure 12. Nature of Pollutant Emissions

**Source:** Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018
Activities generating Pollutants among Companies

The activities that were used to generate pollutants among companies were power generation, use of boiler houses and the act of gas flaring and waste pipe. It shows that 33% agreed on gas flaring, 61.3% agreed on boiler houses, 18.3% agreed on power generation while 17% agreed on waste pipe (Figure 14).

Sources of pollutants emission among companies

It is shown in Figure 15 that 88.0% of respondents agreed that wastes were discharged through smoke stack while others agreed on exhaust pipe.
It is necessary for companies to adopt standard laid by regulatory agencies for their daily activities. In the study it was discovered in Figure 16 that all the companies’ studies adopted the standard laid out by National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESRA). Not leaving any stone un-turn, Indorama went step further to adopting other benchmark laid out for environmental standard for pollutant emission. These were standard created by World Health Organisation (WHO), Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Federal Ministry of Environment of Nigeria (FEMV). More indicators observed in Indorama than the other two companies is a way to show that Indorama satisfied more regulations as laid down by NESRA, WHO, DPR, EPA, and FEMV than PHRC and Notore.
Pollution Potentials of companies

Figure 17 shows that the risk of polluting the environment is more pronounced with Indorama as they possess overhead tanks, underground tanks and these tanks has large product storage capacity which makes it easy for leakage. Other firms only possess above ground storage capacity which in the event of leakage, it is easier to detect the leakage source.

Figure 18. Facilities monitoring for integrity test

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018
Nature of facility/integrity test

The analysis in Figure 19 presents that all the companies embarked on proper integrity test which are done or conducted via many avenues which included joint monitoring, auditing of monitoring report and independent monitoring. All were carried out in Indorama without much difference but independent monitoring was prominent in PHRC while auditing of monitoring report was more pronounced in Notore.

![Figure 19. Nature of facility/integrity test](source)

Source: Researcher’s Fieldwork, 2018

Indicators of Corporate environmental management systems

Thirty one different indicators were listed in Table 4 and it shows that Indorama had the highest indicators. From the findings, it could be revealed that there was no significant difference in the corporate environment management systems of the selected companies in Eleme LGA, Rivers State, Nigeria (F=0.716; p=0.492).

Challenges facing implementation of EMS

Figure 4.20 shows the challenges facing the implementation of EMS among the selected companies. It is shown that weak regulation was noticed as the major cause of poor implementation of environmental management system in these companies as 51.3% of the respondents agreed to this. It is also shown that poor training was attested to by 27.3% while 20% agreed on poor management commitment. The practice of environmental management system in their areas of domain makes it easy for the companies to continually audit their policies to ensuring that it is in conformity to what is expected from a standard environmental management system applied by firms. Though their year of incorporating the environmental management system into their daily activities varies from one company to another, it is obvious that all companies are conscience of the environmental implication of their activities hence have launched the EMS in their activities.

Waste monitoring practice is obvious in the companies schedule but it is done at periodic intervals which are scheduled rather than on a daily basis especially for PHRC and Notore. PHRC went beyond the scheduled monitoring of waste to the placement of emission target on waste emission or disposal into the environment to ensure that only controllable waste are let out in to the environment with a standby trained unit that ensures compliance to the set target in the organization. The companies especially Notore and PHRC ensured that members of staff were trained in the areas of environmental education and also designated areas that are environmental less sensitive to discharge waste effluent or emit pollutants into the environment. This became necessary as they emitted large amount of pollutants into the environment with Indorama taking the lead of pollutants emission while at regulation they also became first from the rear. With the level of activities that could generate pollution of the environment, all the companies adopted the regulation standard put in place by NESRA while Indorama went further to adopting the regulation that are laid out by WHO, DPR, EPA, and FEMV inclusively and believed that this has a way of putting them in check on the issues regarding the environment.
Table 3. Indicators of Corporate EMS and Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Indorama</th>
<th>PHRC</th>
<th>Notore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability of EMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of implementation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring of Emission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervals of Pollution Monitoring</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of emission target</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized unit for pollution monitoring</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental sensitivity for pollution discharge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental education of members of staff</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pollutants</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential of pollution generation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental risk in discharge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory standard laid out</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of Procedure for Environmental Monitoring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trained Personnel for environmental monitoring</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of environmental Audit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of Waste water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct of contamination survey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of Noise receptors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities that promote wildlife</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication with suppliers to minimise environmental impacts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence on suppliers to improve environmental quality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of EMS to the public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of documented environmental policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of company product on environment Quality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship between product and human health</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of radioactive source</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Ozone depleting products</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities that give rise to Dust</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities that give rise to Vibration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities that give rise to Odour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities that give rise to Visual Impact</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 0.716; p=0.492

Finally integrity test are conducted for facilities by all the companies to ensure that the built capacity is sustained though week regulation is outlined as the basic factors hindering the proper implementation of the environmental management system plan across companies. The dominating challenges noted in the present study were weak regulation and poor training. This is not so in Famiyeh, Kuttu & Anarfo (2014) study which noted that implementation cost and too much paper work seemed to be the most two important factors that hinders the implementation of environmental management systems in Ghana. This is possible because all challenges may not be equally applicable to each and every organization (Barve and Muduli, 2011). Meanwhile, poor management commitment which is noted as a major challenge towards achieving adequate implementation of environmental management systems is in consonance with the study of Barve and Muduli (2011) in Indian mining industries.
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Findings revealed that the application of environmental management system and processes in the day to day activities of the company was only employed by Indorama and Notore while the PHRC did not employ environmental management system enlisted in their daily management of the company extraction activities and waste. This may be attributed to the voluntary compliance behavior of the company. Case (2006) reported that achievement of environmental regulatory goals is substantially dependent on voluntary compliance behavior by corporate environmental actors. It was discovered in the analysis that Indorama was the oldest in the business of applying environmental management system in their projects and activities while PHRC and Notore recently started applying environmental management system in their projects and activities from 2014. This is an indicator that the environment is becoming of concern to the companies operating within the area and the awareness is for this environmental approach is becoming more popular to safeguard the environment. The potentials of pollutants generated by Indorama and PHRC were enormous. The pollutants were NOx, SOx, CO2, CO, NH4, hence the quality of life of people in this vicinity and the surrounding communities will have been affected.

Urban areas are characterized by intense emissions from industrial activities (Emenike and Orjinmo, 2017). Ambient concentrations of air pollutants are often several times higher in developing country cities compared to those in industrial countries (Gwilliam, et al., 2004). The diffusion of pollutants from industries lies in human’s respiratory zone and threat human’s health directly (Wang, 2006). The training of the members of staff which was discovered in PHRC and Notore ensures that members of staff acquire environmental education and as a result, there is sensitivity by members of staff who were trained in the area of environmental education to govern their conduct in the environment and this will reduce the impact company activities has on the environment through waste of pollutant emission. Reilly (2008) reported that environmental education encourages citizens to make knowledgeable and informed decisions about their environmental behavior based on the awareness, knowledge, skills, and attitudes instilled in them. Thus, environmental education would also encourage citizens to make informed and positive actions toward the environment (Environmental Education and Training Partnership, 2000). Findings reveal that PHRC and Notore ensured that waste generated is properly monitored from the source to discharge or emission point while despite Indorama long term implementation of environmental management system, their waste
disposal and management is still inappropriate as the pollutants can be easily released and filtered into the human food chain through soil and water bodies (surface and groundwater) and this can lead to several health challenges. Sankoh, Yan and Tran (2013) reported that school children, waste workers and workers in facilities are group of people at higher risk of waste pollutants. It has been shown that exposure to hazardous waste can affect human health, children being the most vulnerable to these pollutants and direct exposure can lead to diseases through chemical exposure as the release of chemical waste into the environment leads to chemical poisoning (Nwanta and Ezenduka, 2010; Sankoh et al., 2013). The challenges discovered in this study are needed to help a manager develop strategies to minimize the impact of those barriers in future. Barve and Muduli (2010) viewed that the barriers that have been identified can help managers evaluate the degree to which these barriers are present in their organization. It will also bring about the improvement in the environmental improvement (Ho, Law and Lim, 2017; Botchway and Gbedemah, 2018).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From the findings above the companies under study are involved in extraction, manufacturing and processing activities. These activities have actually exposed the environment to an adverse degradation. Hence, there is the need for a continual environmental audit to ensure that there is a sustainability of the environment; the companies under study should maintain a trained staff unit to monitor any emission or discharges arising from their activities; and there should be a strict adherence to the established environmental standards guiding their operations.
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